

April 7, 1994

LB 907, 1066  
LR 502

been a request for a machine vote. All in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk. Record vote has been requested.

CLERK: (Record vote read as found on pages 1803-04 of the Legislative Journal.) 26 ayes, 9 nays, Mr. President, on the advancement of 907.

SPEAKER WITHEM: LB 907 advances. LB 1066.

CLERK: Mr. President, may I read one item right before that? New resolution LR 502 offered by Senator Wesely. I have a communication from the Speaker regarding referral of LR 502. (See pages 1804-06 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, 1006...1066, excuse me, E & R amendments have been adopted. Senators Hall, Lindsay and Chambers had offered an amendment to the bill. That amendment is currently pending. Senator, your amendment is on page 1256 of the Journal.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. President and members, the amendment on Journal page 1256 is the...the MacBride Principles. It is the bill that I introduced this year that is on General File. I...we had debated this issue, at least started to at the point in time we left LB 1066, and we are back at it, at this point. I apologize. I was off to the side. The issue is one of, as you know, Senator Chambers' bill strikes the sanctions against businesses that, as far as investment by the state, with regard to businesses that invested in South Africa, since the removal of apartheid in the form of government in South Africa. Senator Chambers brought in his bill, LB 1066, to repeal that section or that penalty, the prohibition, for investment. As I mentioned, on General File, this bill, I asked Senator Chambers if it might be possible to amend his bill, and he said he would take a look at it between the...the time 1066 advanced beyond General File to Select. We did touch on this earlier, before we had to leave 1066 previously, but there was very little debate and it made sense to continue debate on this issue. I don't want to take an extended period of time here. I believe that the issue is an important one, it has been in the Legislature here, I think, since 1987, and we have dealt with it a number of occasions. It has never been a priority issue and therefore it has never been adopted into statute. What it does is it